

From: [Policy MailIn](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: NCAT review
Date: Wednesday, 12 June 2019 3:25:24 PM

From: Gurjit Singh [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, 12 June 2019 2:25 PM
To: Policy MailIn
Subject: Re: NCAT review

Hi

If I make more than one submissions addressing separate topics as I find it easier to express myself and secondly my dealing with NCAT since 2017 and with appeal panel is long and along the way things happen in NCAT which are beyond belief in country such as Australia.

eg : A member lied and its recorded and documented. Even developing country like India, never heard of judicial officer lied, recorded lied, documented lied and continue to hear cases. This raises serious question on NCAT act which places obligation on Division heads to provide training to member and Division head is responsible to investigate complaints of Misconduct of Members. I mean its a situation where chef was asked to find fault in his own cooked dish. Right !

So I think I should ask if separate submissions are practically acceptable.

Best Wishes

Gurjit Singh

[REDACTED]

The content of this email is confidential and intended for the recipient specified in message only. It is strictly forbidden to share any part of this message with any third party, without a written consent of the sender. If you received this message by mistake, please reply to this message and follow with its deletion, so that we can ensure such a mistake does not occur in the future.

On 2019-06-12 01:54 PM, Policy MailIn wrote:

Dear Gurjit Singh

Thank you for email regarding the NCAT Statutory Review. Please find responses to your questions below:

- . We welcome all feedback regarding NCAT's operation.
- . There is no template that must be followed.
- . We are seeking written submissions at this stage. We expect to conduct face to face roundtable discussions on important issues identified by the written submissions.
- . As we have only just commenced receiving submissions for the NCAT Statutory Review, copies of those submissions have not been made public. If you wish to look at some previous reviews undertaken by the NSW Department of Justice, as well as submissions received from stakeholders, please go [here](#)

Yours sincerely,
Policy and Reform

From: Gurjit Singh [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 11 June 2019 4:47 PM
To: Policy MailIn
Subject: NCAT review

Dear Sir/Madam

It is time for review of NCAT ACT. I was reading and came across following information

"The Department of Justice is seeking feedback on how well NCAT is working and to look at reforms that could strengthen access to justice for people in NSW."

I have following questions:

- 1) How well Ncat is working, does it mean any negative feedback is not relevant?
- 2) Is there any submissions templates which is required to follow?
- 3) Can submissions be made via video?
- 4) It says submissions be made public. I tried to look for submissions made by others in the NCAT review and past reviews undertaken by department of justice. I could not find any submissions on department of justice website. If you can provide any link it will help.

Best Wishes

Gurjit Singh

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

The content of this email is confidential and intended for the recipient specified in message only. It is strictly forbidden to share any part of this message with any third party, without a written consent of the sender. If you received this message by mistake, please reply to this message and follow with its deletion, so that we can ensure such a mistake does not occur in the future.

DISCLAIMER: This email and any attachments are intended only for the addressee named and may contain confidential and/or legal profession-privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this communication. If you have received the message in error, please delete the email and any copy and notify the sender by return email. Confidentiality or privilege are not waived or lost by reason of the mistaken delivery to you. Views expressed in the message are those of the individual sender and are not necessarily the views of the NSW Department of Justice.